

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/719,002	DRAPER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Collins	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Collins.

(2) Lawrence Bugaisky.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

22, 25-28, 39

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

agreed to examiner's amendment to cancel claims 25-28, to amend claim 22 to recite "plant" cell, and to amend claim 39 to remove parentheses; authorization for charging an extension of time was given.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowable with examiner's amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)